

## Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

In Re: 21761420	Date: AUG. 16, 2022
Appeal of Nebraska Service Center Decision	
Form N-565, Application to Replace a Certificate of	Citizenship or Naturalization
The Applicant seeks a replacement Certificate of Na 13, 1968 as her date of birth. 8 C.F.R. § 338.5.	
The Director of the Nebraska Service Center denice Certificate of Citizenship or Naturalization (Form Non the Applicant's original certificate was the san naturalization, and she did not establish that U.S. Citizal clerical error in preparing the certificate or that her conform to the information in her Form N-400, Applications of the conformation in her Form N-400, Applications of the conformation in her Form N-400, Applications of the conformation in her Form N-400, Applications of the certificate of the conformation in her Form N-400, Applications of the certificate of the	respectively. The state of birth as printed the state of birth she provided at the time of the state of birth she provided at the time of the state of birth as listed on the certificate did not be stated on the certificate.
On appeal, the Applicant asserts that her Certifical documents with a birth date of 13, 1968. She records, bank accounts, driver's license, and Social S14, 1968. She states that she wishes for all of her doc of birth.	e contends that her retirement accounts, medica Security card all state that her birth date is

In these proceedings, it is the Applicant's burden to establish eligibility for the requested benefit by a preponderance of the evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(l). We review the questions in this matter *de novo*. *Matter of Christo's Inc.*, 26 I&N Dec. 537, 537 n.2 (AAO 2015). Upon *de novo* review, we will dismiss the appeal because the Applicant has not met this burden.

Department of Homeland Security regulations provide that whenever a Certificate of Naturalization has been delivered which does not conform to the facts shown on the application for naturalization, or a clerical error was made in preparing the certificate, an applicant may apply for issuance of a corrected certificate. 8 C.F.R. § 338.5(a). The correction will not be deemed justified, however, where the naturalized person later alleges that the date of birth which they stated to be their correct date of birth at the time of naturalization was not in fact their true date of birth at the time of naturalization. 8 C.F.R. § 338.5(e).

Our review of the record does not demonstrate that either of the conditions under 8 C.F.R. § 338.5(a) for issuance of a corrected Certificate of Naturalization were met in her case. First, the Applicant does

not assert USCIS made a clerical error in preparing the date of birth on the Certificate, and we agree
with the Director there was none. In addition, the record reflects that the Applicant naturalized as a
U.S. citizen in March 2001 and was issued a Certificate of Naturalization with an13, 1968 date
of birth. The record also reflects that the Applicant indicated her date of birth on her Form N-400 as
13, 1968, which is consistent with the Certificate of Naturalization she was issued. As the
Applicant indicated 13 is her true date of birth throughout the naturalization process, the
Certificate of Naturalization conforms to the facts on her naturalization application. Thus, the
Applicant has not met the alternate requirement in 8 C.F.R. § 338.5(a).
Lastly, a correction is not justified under 8 C.F.R. § 338.5(e) when the Applicant, twenty years after she obtained her Certificate of Naturalization, alleges that the 13 date of birth, which she stated to be her correct date of birth during 2001 naturalization proceedings, was not in fact her true date of birth. The Applicant does not allege that this was the result of a clerical error, nor is the Certificate of Naturalization inconsistent with her N-400. Furthermore, 13 is also the date she provided in other applications before the Department of State, or the former Immigration and Naturalization Service, including her Form OF-155A, Immigrant Visa and Alien Registration, and the Form I-130, Petition for Alien Relative, filed on her behalf.

Consequently, the record does not show that the Applicant has established eligibility for a new Certificate of Naturalization with a different date of birth. For these reasons, the appeal will be dismissed and the Applicant's Form N-565 will remain denied.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.