

Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

In Re: 26009425 Date: APR. 4, 2023

Appeal of Vermont Service Center Decision

Form I-485, Application for Adjustment of Status of a U Nonimmigrant

The Applicant seeks to become a lawful permanent resident (LPR) under section 245(m) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1255(m), based on his "U" nonimmigrant status. The Director of the Vermont Service Center denied the Form I-485, Application for Adjustment of Status of U Nonimmigrant (U adjustment application), and the matter is now before us on appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3.

The Applicant bears the burden of proof to demonstrate eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375-76 (AAO 2010). We review the questions in this matter de novo. *Matter of Christo's, Inc.*, 26 I&N Dec. 537, 537 n.2 (AAO 2015). Upon de novo review, we will withdraw the Director's decision and remand the matter for entry of a new decision consistent with the following analysis.

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may adjust the status of a U nonimmigrant to a lawful permanent resident (LPR) if that individual demonstrates, among other requirements, that he or she has been physically present in the United States for a continuous period of at least three years since admission as a U nonimmigrant and continuing through the date of the conclusion of adjudication of the U adjustment application. Section 245(m)(1)(A) of the Act; 8 C.F.R. § 245.24(a)(1). To demonstrate continuous physical presence, a U adjustment applicant must provide, in pertinent part, a photocopy of all pages of all passports that were valid during the three-year period in U status prior to the filing of the U adjustment application, or an equivalent travel document or explanation of why he or she does not have a passport. 8 C.F.R. § 245.24(d)(5).

The Applicant, a native and citizen of Sri Lanka, was granted U-2 status from June 2017 until June 2021, and timely filed the instant U adjustment application in September 2020. The Director denied the application, finding that the Applicant had not complied with 8 C.F.R. § 245.24(d)(5) because she did not provide a complete copy of her passport, valid from July 2011 to July 2021. Specifically, the Director noted that the copy she submitted was missing pages 30 and 31.

On appeal, the Applicant submits a complete copy of her passport, including the previously missing pages 30 and 31. In a cover letter submitted with the appeal, the Applicant's counsel explains that the missing pages are due entirely to an error on his part. Upon review, the Applicant has provided new

evidence that the Director has not had the opportunity to review. As such, we will remand the matter to the Director to consider this evidence in the first instance, and further determine whether the Applicant has satisfied the remaining eligibility requirements to adjust her status to that of an LPR under section 245(m) of the Act.

ORDER: The Director's decision is withdrawn. The matter is remanded for the entry of a new decision consistent with the foregoing analysis.